UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,735	03/30/2001	Jiming Sun	42390P10450	7299
	7590 11/10/200 KOLOFF TAYLOR &		EXAMINER	
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			BURGESS, BARBARA N	
SUNNY VALE,	E, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/822,735	SUN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the defended or b) for objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

09/822,735 Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Pre-Appeal Brief Conference Decision filed September 2, 2009. Claims 1-30 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-7, 10-17, 20-27, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over Shiigi (US Patent Application Publication 2009/0164595 A1).

As per claims 1, 11, and 21, Shiigi discloses an apparatus, method, and computer program product comprising:

an encoder to encode data in a first format from an input device into a string of data having a second format supported by a server having an infrastructure, the first format and second format being different (paragraphs [0031, 0033]);

a packetizer coupled to the encoder to break the string of data into packets no larger than maximum message size allowed by the infrastructure, the packets having at least 09/822,735 Art Unit: 2457

one packet having a header, the header identifying the first format (paragraphs [0031, 0040.

a management layer coupled to the packetizer to process the packetized string of data using a processing function, the management layer processing a received packet having data encoded in the second format (paragraphs [0031, 0033, 0049]); a decoder to decode a received packet encoded in the second format back into the data having the first format (paragraphs [0031, 0033]).

:

As per claims 2, 12, 22, Shiigi discloses the apparatus, method, and computer program product of claims 1, 11, 21 wherein the decoder comprises a detector to detect the second format and a converter to convert the string of data back into the data having the first format (paragraphs [0031, 0033]).

As per claims 3, 13, 23, Shiigi discloses the apparatus, method, and computer program product of claims 1, 11, 21 wherein the at least one packet is transmitted to the sever supporting the second format (paragraph [0033]).

As per claims 4, 14, and 24, Shiigi discloses the apparatus, method, and computer program product of claims 3, 13, 23 wherein the network comprises an instant messaging (IM) infrastructure (paragraphs [0021, 0045-0046]).

Art Unit: 2457

As per claims 5, 15, 25, Shiigi discloses the apparatus, method, and computer program product of claims 1, 11, 21 wherein the second format is an American Standard Code of Information Interchange (ASCII) format (paragraph [0031]).

As per claims 6, 16, 26, Shiigi, discloses the apparatus, method, and computer program product of claims 1, 11, 21 wherein the data having the first format is ink input data (paragraph [0008], Abstract).

As per claims 7, 17, 27, Shiigi discloses the apparatus, method, and computer program product of claims 6, 16, 26 wherein the ink input data is obtained from is one of a touch-screen, a digitizer, a tablet, and a mouse (paragraph [0008, 0024], Abstract).

As per claims 10, 20, 30, Shiigi disclose the apparatus, method, and computer program product of claims 8, 18, 28 further comprising an interface layer coupled to the packetizer to process the at least one packet into one of an instant messaging, a chat message, and an email message (paragraphs [0045, 0055]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

09/822,735 Art Unit: 2457

4. Claims 8-9, 18-19, 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiigi (US Patent Application Publication 2009/0164595 A1) in view of Lewis et al. (hereinafter "Lewis", US Patent Publication 2001/0053978 A1).

As per claims 8, 18, 28, Shiigi discloses an apparatus, method, and computer program product comprising:

an encoder to encode data in a first format from an input device into a string of data having a second format supported by a server having an infrastructure, the first format and second format being different (paragraphs [0002, 0006-0007, 0009]); a packetizer coupled to the encoder to break the string of data into packets no larger than maximum message size allowed by the infrastructure, the packets having at one

a management layer coupled to the packetizer to process the packetized string of data using a processing function, the management layer processing a received packet having data encoded in the second format (paragraphs [0012, 0058, 0060]); a decoder coupled to the management layer to decode received packet back into the data having the first format (paragraphs [0012, 0060-0061]).

packet having a header, the header identifying the first format.

Shiigi does not explicitly disclose:

the processing function being enabled or disabled using a configuration user interface.

However, in an analogous art, Lewis discloses the user selecting one or more contraints used to decode special data. If selected, the constraint is enabled to decode special data and modify default recognition parameters (Abstract, paragraphs [0009]).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Lewis's processing function being enabled or disabled using a configuration user interface in Shiigi's apparatus in order to decode special data.

As per claims 9, 19, 29, Shiigi discloses the apparatus, method, and computer program product of claims 8, 18, 28 wherein the processing function is one of smoothing (paragraph [0049]).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BARBARA N. BURGESS whose telephone number is (571)272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

09/822,735

Art Unit: 2457

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Barbara N Burgess/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2457

November 7, 2009

/ARIO ETIENNE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457